UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgima 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

20350 7590 08/02/2010
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER

EXAMINER

PREBILIC, PAUL B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3774 DATE MAILED: 08/02/2010

TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFERMATION NO

 10/661,400
 09/12/2003
 J. Christopher Marmo
 02/6322-002910US
 6665

TITLE OF INVENTION: INSERTING LENSES INTO CORNEAL EPITHELIAL POCKETS TO IMPROVE VISION

 APPLN. TYPE
 SMALL ENTITY
 ISSUE FEE DUE
 PUBLICATION FEE DUE
 PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE
 TOTAL FEE(s) DUE
 DATE DUE

 nonprovisional
 YES
 \$755
 \$300
 \$0
 \$1055
 11/02/2010

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 1SI. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FIEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or Fax (571)-273-2885

appropriate. All further indicated unless correct maintenance fee notifica	correspondence includir ed below or directed oth	ng the Patent, advance on herwise in Block 1, by (a	rders and notification of r a) specifying a new corres	naintenance fees wi pondence address;	II be and/or	mailed to the current (b) indicating a sepa	corre arate "	spondence address as FEE ADDRESS" for	
	ENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Bi	ock 1 for any change of address)	Note Feet paps have	e: A certificate of n s) Transmittal. This ers, Each additional	nailing certif paper of mai	can only be used for icate cannot be used for such as an assignment ling or transmission.	or dom for any int or i	estic mailings of the other accompanying formal drawing, must	
TWO EMBARO EIGHTH FLOO	CADERO CENTER R	D AND CREW, L	LP Lbe	Certify that this	ificate	of Mailing or Trans Transmittal is being ficient postage for first SSUE FEE address 1) 273-2885, on the d	missio	on sited with the United	
SAN FRANCIS	CO, CA 94111-383	4						(Depositor's name)	
								(Signature)	
								(Date)	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/661,400 TITLE OF INVENTION	09/I2/2003 :: INSERTING LENSES	INTO CORNEAL EPITI	J. Christopher Marmo HELIAL POCKETS TO IN	MPROVE VISION	026	5322-002910US		6665	
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE	FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	Т	DATE DUE	
nonprovisional	YES	\$755	\$300	\$0	\$0 \$		11/02/2010		
EXAM	IINER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS						
PREBILIO	, PAUL B	3774	623-005110						
"Fee Address" ind PTO/SB/47; Rev 03- Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME A	ondence address (or Cha B/122) attached. ication (or "Fee Address 22 or more recent) attach ND RESIDENCE DATA	nge of Correspondence "Indication form ed. Use of a Customer A TO BE PRINTED ON "	or agents OR, alternative (2) the name of a single registered attorney or a 2 registered patent attolisted, no name will be THE PATENT (print or type)	(f) the names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agento RR, lidernitivelys (2) the name of a single firm (thiving as a member a projected attorney or a goal) and the names of up to 2 registered patent autorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed. E PATENT (print or type) ta will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filled for substitute for filling an assignment.					
(A) NAME OF ASSI	GNEE	oletion of this form is NO	(B) RESIDENCE: (CITY	and STATE OR CO	DUNT				
4a. The following fee(s) Issue Fee Publication Fee (N Advance Order	vo small entity discount p		o. Payment of Fee(s): (Plea A check is enclosed. Payment by credit car The Director is hereby overpayment, to Depo	d. Form PTO-2038	is atta	ched.			
	s SMALL ENTITY state	is. See 37 CFR 1.27.	b. Applicant is no lon						
NOTE: The Issue Fee an interest as shown by the	d Publication Fee (if req records of the United Sta	uired) will not be accepte tes Patent and Trademark	d from anyone other than t Office.	he applicant; a regis	tered a	uttorney or agent; or th	ne assi	gnee or other party in	
Authorized Signature				Date					
Typed or printed nam			Registration No						
This collection of inform an application. Confiden submitting the complete this form and/or suggest Box 1450, Alexandria, V Alexandria, Virginia 223	nation is required by 37 C tiality is governed by 35 d application form to the ions for reducing this but 'irginia 22313-1450. DC k13-1450.	FR 1.311. The informatis U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary rden, should be sent to th O NOT SEND FEES OR	on is required to obtain or r 1.14. This collection is est depending upon the indiv e Chief Information Office COMPLETED FORMS TO	etain a benefit by th imated to take 12 m idual case. Any con r, U.S. Patent and T D THIS ADDRESS.	e publ inutes nment Traden SENI	ic which is to file (and to complete, includir s on the amount of ti- nark Office, U.S. Dep D TO: Commissioner	d by th ng gath me yo artmer for Pa	ne USPTO to process) hering, preparing, and u require to complete at of Commerce, P.O. tents, P.O. Box 1450,	

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PTOL-85 (Rev. 08/07) Approved for use through 08/31/2010.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgima 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/661,400	09/12/2003 J. Christopher Marmo		026322-002910US	6665		
20350 7.	590 08/02/2010	EXAMINER				
TOWNSEND A	ND TOWNSEND AT	PREBILIC, PAUL B				
TWO EMBARCA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
EIGHTH FLOOR		3774				
SAN FRANCISCO	D, CA 94111-3834	DATE MAIL ED. 00/02/2010				

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 0 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 0 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/661.400 MARMO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Paul B. Prebilic 3774 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Paul B. Prebilic. (3) (2) John Shimmick (Reg.# 44,335). (4)____. Date of Interview: 25 June 2010. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 81, 224, 232, and 233. Identification of prior art discussed: Perez (WO). Ting, and Feingold as applied in the 1/27/2010 Office action. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paul B. Pretilic/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774

U.S. Patent and Todeman Office

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any factor-bace, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application where or not an apprenent with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patient of Trademark Office should be Iransacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attomeys or agents at the Patient and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant of the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summay Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
 - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 10/661,400

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Shimmick argued that Perez apparently separates more than the epithelium from the eye in Figure 5 based upon the language on page 19 that mentions stromal margin. He also argued that Ting teaches away from using the epithelium as the holding layer because it teaches utilizing sutures to hold the same down instead of the flap alone. In addition, Mr. Shimmick argued that Feingold does not disclose a tolerance capable of separating only the epithelium on column 7, line 4 because the thickness indicated would cut into the stroma. The Examiner reviewed the rejections in light of these arguments and decided to allow the claims as being unobvious over the prior art of record.